STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATION 1.
Consider the elaboration of an exit strategy. The evaluation found that across the beneficiary countries there is a clear need for the continuation of the Programme. Nonetheless, a clear exit strategy should be discussed with the beneficiaries to ensure that they have been adequately capacitated to undertake the programme’s outcomes and that they are committed to a swift transition when the Programme ends, including at the financial level.

Response to Recommendation: Agree
Reasons for Totally Agree: at the time of the MTE exercise, the exit strategy was not yet formulated, and this was not done in the PRODOC formulation. Now, the strategy is developed and validated by the PMU.

KEY ACTION (S) | RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON | EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION | SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE) | ACTIONS TAKEN | STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE) | TRACKING | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Draft the exit strategy | PMU | May 2022 | Completed | Recruitment of expert | Completed | ToR & contract |
Validate and approve exit strategy | PMU/UNDP | Nov 2022 | Underway | Final report and exit strategy draft delivered | Completed | IC Final report and Exit strategy draft |
Disclose Exit Strategy Document | PMU | Dec 2022 | Not started | Share in board and disclose in website | No due date | To be disclosed before the closure of the project in the 1st quarter of 2023. |

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATION 2.
Reach out and link the Programme to Global Platforms like the Integrated National Financing Framework (INFF) in order to increase the visibility of the Programme, and its promotion as an international best practice.

Management Response: Partially Agree
Reasons for Totally Partially Agree: it is always possible to do more, but the Pro PALOP-TL SAI PMU efforts in exposing the programme initiatives are extensive. Potential additional efforts would have now to come at the highest level of UNDP and the EU managements.

KEY ACTION (S) | RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON | EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION | SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE) | ACTIONS TAKEN | STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE) | TRACKING | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Identify potential opportunities | PMU | May 2023 | Underway | Several opportunities identified and contacts made | Initiated | This action is permanent, as part of daily management. |
Submit applications to present and/or participate in international events | PMU | April 2023 | Underway | Submit applications and/or contact event organizers | Initiated | 2022 EDD application submitted (no selected) / 2022 OECD_OPSI application submitted (undergoing evaluation) / UNDP DFS on Gender Equality Strategy (peer review phase) |
Contacts with UNDP colleagues responsible for INFF and other important initiatives | UNDP/PMU | April 2023 | Underway | Emails from Head of Office followed by CTA | Completed | Share Pro PALOP-TL SAI experiences in INFF event / ongoing discussions with UNDP Eswatini to use Pro PALOP-TL SAI CRB approach / Discussions with UNDP Financial Hub to mainstream Pro PALOP-TL SAI CRB approach |
STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATION 3.
Strengthen the governance coordination mechanisms and communication. Consider increasing the frequency of the Country Coordination Committees and increase the EU’s involvement through the Steering Committee meetings. This is not only relevant to ensure communication of results, but also to increase the political dialogue and uptake.

Management Response: Partially Agree
Reasons for Partially Agree: It is necessary to ensure regularity of the programme country coordination mechanisms (semestral), which would eventually contribute to increase EU Delegations involvement in the implementation of the programme at country level. Nevertheless, the programme communicates its results very effectively, which in part compensates for the irregularity of country coordination meetings. Additionally, the regional board is regular and also contributes to compensate the lack of frequency of the country coordination mechanism.

KEY ACTION (S) | RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON | EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION | SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE) | ACTIONS TAKEN | STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE) | TRACKING | COMMENTS | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Ensures at least one country coordination committee is organized before the annual regional programme board meeting | PMU/UNDP COs in each beneficiary country | May 2023 | Underway | Send communications to all stakeholders in all countries and support in the organization | No due date | Country Coordination Committees are normally organized before the annual project regional board. Next series will anticipate the annual board and should be in Dec 22 or Jan 23. | Minutes
Co-facilitate with UNDP colleagues at country level these meetings | idem | May 2023 | Underway | Co-organize the meetings | No due date | Agendas

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATION 4.
Foster closer coordination with national and regional EU-funded projects through formal and informal dialogue and explore potential synergies with those projects. EU delegations can capitalize on their role as donors to foster more coordination opportunities between projects. This is especially relevant to step-up targeted efforts in Timor-Leste, notably to foster closer relationships with the beneficiaries. This recommendation is also linked to SR3.

Management Response: Partially Agree
Reasons for Totally Disagree: the PMU has always tried to foster coordination with other EU-funded programmes. In the case of Timor-Leste, this had happened in several occasions, since there are more convergence points with regards to the programme PFMO implemented by Instituto Camões (Portugal bilateral cooperation agency). In the case of Rule of Law programme (also implemented by Instituto Camões), there are no real opportunities for collaboration in the field. Coordination among programmes is ensured in the coordination mechanisms set by EU and the PALOP-TL countries. Efforts were successful in Timor-Leste to foster closer collaboration between the programming, the EUD and the beneficiaries.

KEY ACTION (S) | RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON | EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION | SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE) | ACTIONS TAKEN | STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE) | TRACKING | COMMENTS | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Maintain presence in meetings organized by the EU and Beneficiary countries in the context of PALOP-TL | PMU | May 2023 | Underway | Participate in the meetings and provide report on results | Initiated | Reports provided
Increase buy-in and collaboration with beneficiaries and the EU in Timor-Leste | PMU/UNDP TL | Jun 2022 | Completed | Improve communication with all stakeholders and faster implementation of the activities | Completed | EUD and national stakeholders agree with positive impact in TL | Reports & video testimony
**OPERATIONAL RECOMMENDATION 1.**  
**Affecting financial resources to local UNDP offices and revisiting responsibilities.** The strengthening of country officers may be crucial in addressing local difficulties of partners.

**Management Response:** Disagree

**Reasons for Totally Disagree:** all UNDP COs receive funding for the implementation of activities and are allowed to charge the project with justified DPC, as foreseen in the project documentation. This recommendation could only be implemented in a new programme cycle, considering the contractual limitations when dealing with EU Contribution Agreements. Finally, UNDP COs could allocate their own resources, considering the reputational gains the programme is bringing to all COs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY ACTION (S)</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON</th>
<th>EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION</th>
<th>SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE)</th>
<th>TRACKING ACTIONS TAKEN</th>
<th>STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not Started</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**OPERATIONAL RECOMMENDATION 2.**  
**Consider the development of a task force to rapidly respond to the foreseen and unforeseen change of public officials and representatives.** Due to regular democratic processes, it is natural a certain turnover of elected or appointed officials. The taskforce should immediately initiate contacts with newly elected or appointed public officials, in order to guarantee that the Programme becomes as quickly as possible appropriated by the newly elected or appointed public officials.

**Management Response:** Disagree

**Reasons for Totally Disagree:** To this date, in face of all turnovers in the various beneficiary institutions, the programme access and influence was never affected. Implementation rhythm might be affected, but is would not be solved by the proposed solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY ACTION (S)</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON</th>
<th>EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION</th>
<th>SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE)</th>
<th>TRACKING ACTIONS TAKEN</th>
<th>STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not Started</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**OPERATIONAL RECOMMENDATION 3.**  
**Consider resorting to specialized sources in the beneficiary countries to facilitate future capacity-building and knowledge sharing actions (eg. Local universities) so that the training is sustainable in the countries.**

**Management Response:** Agree

**Reasons for Totally Disagree:** due to complex capacity assessments needed to ensure these collaborations with local universities, it was not possible to secure them during the implementation of the programme. This would be a lesson learned, in case of future programming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY ACTION (S)</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE SECTION / UNIT / PERSON</th>
<th>EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION</th>
<th>SUMMARY (PLEASE CHOOSE ONE)</th>
<th>TRACKING ACTIONS TAKEN</th>
<th>STATUS (INITIATED, COMPLETED OR NO DUE DATE)</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
<th>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prepare a detailed lessons learned report</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>May 2023</td>
<td>Not started</td>
<td>Draft the report on the basis of lessons learned</td>
<td>Initiated</td>
<td>To be delivered with the final narrative and financial report.</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>